Scott. II-27--36,006—30-10-37.

(1938) Department.

M 23 SERIES.

G.O. No. 284

DATED 14-2-38

[Despatch Abstract.]

Public Works **DEPARTMENT.**

Received ..... 19 *Registered* 19

Subject.

Brucepet Drainage Scheme - Bellary Dispensing with the call ~~of~~ for tenders for execution of certain items of work approved in G.O. No. 793 W dated 28th March 1935.

(K)

ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies .....

No. 5053 Wks/37-1

Station Chepauk, Madras, *Dated the* 20th December 1937.~~xx~~ 19

From (Name) M. O'Brien, Esq., B.E., A.M.I.C.E.,
(Designation) Chief Engineer, P.W.D., General, Buildings & Roads.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Public Works Department.

Sir,

I forward herewith a copy of letter No. 781 W dated 30th November 1937 from the Superintending Engineer Bellary Circle in regard to the giving out on contract of certain items of works relating to the Brucepet Drainage Scheme without inviting tenders. Of these items which are specified in para 1 of the letter, approval was given by me for dispensing with tenders in the case of items 1, 3 and 5.

2. The reasons for dispensing with tenders for items 2 and 4 are given in paras 3 and 4 of the Superintending Engineer's letter. As the total value of these contracts

contracts exceeds Rs.10,000/-, I request that Government will be so good as to accord approval for dispensing with tenders to the extent mentioned in para 1 of the Superintending Engineer's letter.

3. The Superintending Engineer is being informed that he should have obtained the approval of Government prior to giving out the works on contract.

for Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

Encls:-
Copy of the Superintending Engineer's letter referred to.

CRS./21-12-37.

C.F. 3.

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

*Received*_____ *Registered*_____

From (Name) A.W. NIGHTINGALE ESQUIRE,

(Designation) Superintending Engineer, Bellary Circle,

Post Box_____, (Station) Bellary.

*Telegraphic Address*_____

To

The Chief Engineer, P.W.D.
General, Buildings and Roads,
Chepauk, Madras.

No. 181 W, *dated* 30.11.1937
369-L.

Sir,

*Subject:- Brucepet Drainage scheme - Bellary Municipalit
Items of work given out on contract without calling for tenders

ENCLOSURES_____

SPARE COPIES_____

The following five items of work were given out on contract without calling for tenders:--

1. Constructing an office and store at the sewage Farm - working estimate Rs 1,685/-

2. Constructing over flow drain No.8 with R.C. Hume pipe - Original estimate provision Rs 1580 --working estimate .. Rs 1,700/-

3. Diverting drainage courses crossing the Bellary-Gooty Road - working Estimate Rs 1,580/- Workslip Rs 1,310/- .. Rs 1,310/-

4. Supply of cement pipes 3" Dr. 1'-0" long for sewage Farm (sub-soil pipes) Total value of supply is Rs 5500/- Rs 5,500/-

Total Rs ~~10,195~~ 11767

Items 1,3 and 4 are items under the sub-work "Constructing sewage Farm" of the scheme estimate, amounting to Rs 48,857/- the original estimate provision being Rs 1500/- Rs 200/- and Rs 9,347/- respectively and while item 2 comes under the sub-work "open drains" against the estimated provision of Rs 11,200/-.

2. The Chief Engineer in No.4300-Wke/36 C.P dated 16-10-1936 has permitted the starting of items 1, and 3 to 5 under K-2 agreement without calling for tenders with a view to spend grant.

3. Constructing overflow drain No.8:-

Of the 29 overflow drains provided in the original estimate, over flow No.8 is the only one with R.C. Hume pipes. All the rest are of masonry drains. The sub-work of "Constructing open drains" is not yet started. The sub-work of "intercepting and outfall sewers" was being executed by the Indian Hume Pipe Co., As overflow No.8 was also to be built of Hume pipes, the work was given to the Indian Hume Pipe Co., at their tendered rates for the sub-work of "Intercepting and outfall sewers" No tenders were ~~not~~ called for by the Executive Engineer, vide copy of his letter No.1813-G dated 5-10-37.

4. Providing sub-soil pipes for sewage farm - Estimate provision Rs 9,347/-.Item 4.

The sanctioned estimate provides forthe use of 3" dr. clay pipes. The Executive Engineer, Bellary reported that suitable earth for clay pipes was not available in the Bellary District and that they would be a failure unless properly burnt. In view of the difficulty of procuring good burnt clay pipes, my predecessor ordered the use of 3" Dr. cement pipes.in place of clay pipes. As the Indian Hume Pipe Co., are the largest wholesale manufacturers of this kind of pipes, they were asked to supply the required number of pipes, and an agreement was drawn in their favour without calling for tenders.

5. As, however, the total aggregate amount of the 4 works mentioned above exceed the limit of Rs 10,000/- fixed in G.O.No.Ms. 1506 dated 29-6-1937 (vide also your further instructions in Memo No.2354-Wks/36-1 dated 2-9-36) I request that you will please obtain the approval of Government, if necessary, to dispense with tenders in these cases.

for Superintending Engineer,
Bellary Circle, Bellary.

Encl.- 1 copy of E.E's letter No.1813-G/5-10-37.
v.s. 30/11.

Memo No. 1813 G dated 5-10-37 from the Executive Engineer, Bellary Division, to the Sub Divisional Officer, Drainage, Bellary.

Brucepet Drainage Scheme - Bellary - Constructing overflow No. 8 of R.C. Hume pipes - Agreement in favour of V.M.Talati Acceptance of.

--

His No. 325 dated 12-8-37.

The agreement for the construction of the above work in favour of Mr. V.M. Talati, is accepted by the undersigned and it is assigned Divisional No. 35-D of 37-38.

A true copy of the accepted agreement is herewith for his information and guidance.

Endt. No. 1813 G dated 5-10-37.

Copy to the Supg. Engineer, for favour of information.

2. A note explaining why the calling for tenders was dispensed with is also enclosed for getting approval to the dispensing of tender in this case.

Sd/- V. Ayyadurai Ayyar,
Supg. Engr. i/c of Bellary Dvn.

Encl:- 1 Note*

---

* Construction of overflow No. 8 Brucepet Drainage Scheme

Overflow No.8 is the only one of R.C.Hume pipes. All the rest are masonry drains. Though it comes under the sub-work of 'open drains' this work is exactly similar to that of the underground sewers just completed by the Indian Hume Pipe Company. Hence it is proposed to get this work also done by the company, through its local representative at the rates tendered by the company for the sub-work of the intercepting sewers. The local Engineer has agreed to this.

As the price of iron has gone high, the cost of manhole frames and covers has also gone up and if tenders are called for higher rates are likely to be quoted as the Indian Hume Pipe Company only have to supply the pipes and

and they only have the skilled labour to do the laying and jointing of the pipes according to their specification. Hence the proposal to get this work done by the Local Engineer of the Indian Hume Pipe Co. This may please be approved.

Sd/- K. Harihara Iyer
S.D.O.

A p p r o v e d.

V. Ayyadurai Ayyar,
16-9-37.

Superintending Engineer in charge.

CRS./21-12-37.

I

P.W.D.

C.F. CN°.5735.C. From the CE.(Gl.Bor.) N°.5053 Wks/37-1 d/20.12.37

Contracts - Bellary - Bruncpet Drainage Scheme - Dispensing with call for tenders for certain items of work

24 JAN 1938

Please see the CE.'s letter in the current file. The first items of work mentioned in the para 1 of the S.E.'s letter on p.3 C.F. have been given out on contract without calling for tenders. The CE. has permitted the starting of three of the items without calling for tenders. As however the total estimated cost of all the first items exceeds Rs 10,000 the sanction of Govt. is applied for. With reference to the order in Gov. N°. 1506 P.W.d/29.6.36 it seems that sanction of Govt. may be given to the dispensing with the call for tenders for all the works mentioned in para 1 of S.E. letter. The CE. has already informed the S.E. that the approval of Govt. should have been obtained prior to giving the work out on contract. A draft order is submitted. It may be sent to S.V.P.H. & Finance for acceptance

18.1.38

3352

J.no N°. 5735 C/37-1
21.1.38

2

E & R-H. Dept

The draft order maybe accepted and the case passed on to Finance Dept

Dda
29.1.38
31/1

SRM
1.2.38

S
Cur 3/2/38
Fin

Dr
2/2

W.O. No. 3352-1 E2

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVT. 3-2-38
3 - FEB 1938
FINANCE DEPT.

Finance (Accts)

The draft order may be accepted and the case returned to P.W.D.

KMS
4.2.38
JSB
9-2-38

W
10.2.38

D.W.D.
10.2.38

Addl D.S.
1M

P.W.

Issue

KG
11/2

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVT.
11 FEB 1938
690
PUBLIC WORKS DEPT.

Fin no 1203 Accts-1

10/2/38

9

14/14/2
Date. Initials.

C.F. 16. P.W.D

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

When received for fair copying ..		
When copied ..		20/12/37
When examined ..		
When signed ..		
When despatched.		

SUBJECT:— Drainage - Bellary - Bruceput Drainage Scheme - Execution of certain items of work - Dispensing with call for tenders - Approved

READ AGAIN _____, dated _____ 19____.

" " _____ " _____ 19____.

READ *ALSO*:—

C.F. No. 5735 C From the CE. (GE BOR), No. 5058 WR/37-1 dated 20.12.1937.

" _____ " _____ " _____ " _____ 19____.

" _____ " _____ " _____ " _____ 19____.

~~Order~~ ~~Resolution~~ ~~Ms.~~ ~~Rout.~~ No. 284, dated 14.2.1938.

The Govt. approve the action of the SE. Bellary Circle in having given out on contract without calling for tenders, certain items of work costing in the aggregate Rs 11,761/- connected with the Bruceput Drainage Scheme Bellary.

2. As the CE. points out, the approval of Govt. should have been obtained prior to giving out the work on contract.

para 1 on p 3 C.F.

To the CE. (GE BOR)
Ag. Madras thro' Finance
B & O.H. Dept.

18.1.38
for 18.1.

20/1

Secy
for
14/2/38